

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/090,566	02/28/2002	Dave Froerer	HARDWRE.012A	5024		
20995 75	590 12/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KNOBBE MA	ARTENS OLSON & I	STRIMBU, O	STRIMBU, GREGORY J			
2040 MAIN ST	REET					
FOURTEENTH	H FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
IRVINE, CA 92614			3634			
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/21/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/090,56	66	FROERER ET AL.	1/4 0		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gregory J		3634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence addres	is		
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no evo t. a reply within the state griod will apply and witatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	3 September 2	2004.				
2a)□							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10,14 and 15 is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-13 and 16-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	s/are withdrawr		,			
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	! is/are: a)⊠ a the drawing(s) b rrection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Staç	ge		
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/3/02</u> .	3/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	()		

Application/Control Number: 10/090,566

Art Unit: 3634

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction filed September 3, 2004 has been approved.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract fails to disclose the individual actuation of each of the louvered panels using the same remote control unit. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable-over-Koot-et-al.—Koot-et-al.—discloses-electronic-louver-actuating

comprising a remote control unit 1 which is utilized to selectively communicate to a first motor 60 a first desired position for a first set of louvers in a first louvered panel, and communicate to a second motor 60 a second desired position for a second set of louvers in a second louvered panel, rotating the first set of louvers in the first louvered panel to the first desired position by the first motor and rotating the second set of louvers in the second louvered panel to the second desired position by the second motor. Since "each individual receiver is adapted to learn which command signal is

Application/Control Number: 10/090,566 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

intended for that specific receiver" on lines 6-7 of column 2, the first and second motor utilize an encoder. Although Koot et al. does not disclose the exact steps of operation recited in claim 11, the use of the apparatus of Koot et al. would inherently lead to the method steps set forth in claim 11.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koot et al. as applied to claims 11-13 and 16-18 above, and further in view of Long et al. Long et al. discloses the use of a radio frequency transmitter 28a.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Koot et al. with a radio frequency transmitter, as taught by Long et al., to increase the distance within which the transmitter can effectively operate.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. White is cited for disclosing a remote control unit for selectively controlling a plurality of louvers.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS NOT MADE FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

December 13, 2004